1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE 2 AT KNOXVILLE, TENNESSEE 3 SNMP RESEARCH, INC. and SNMP 4 RESEARCH INTERNATIONAL, INC., 5 Plaintiffs, 6) Case No. 3:20-cv-451 vs. 7 BROADCOM, INC., BROCADE COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS, LLC, 8 and EXTREME NETWORKS, 9 Defendants. 10 ELECTRONICALLY-RECORDED TELEPHONIC MOTION PROCEEDINGS 11 BEFORE THE HONORABLE DEBRA C. POPLIN 12 Tuesday, May 17, 2022 4:30 p.m. to 4:40 p.m. 13 **APPEARANCES:** 14 TELEPHONICALLY ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFFS: 15 ALVIN MATTHEW ASHLEY, ESQ. 16 OLIVIA WEBER, ESQ. IRELL & MANELLA, LLP 17 1800 Avenue of the Stars Suite 900 18 Los Angeles, CA 90067 19 JOHN L. WOOD, ESQ. CHERYL G. RICE, ESQ. 20 EGERTON, MC AFEE, ARMISTEAD & DAVIS, PC P.O. Box 2047 21 Knoxville, TN 37901-2047 22 TRANSCRIBED BY: 23 Teresa S. Grandchamp, RMR, CRR 24 P.O. Box 1362 Knoxville, Tennessee 37901 25 (865) 244-0454

APPEARANCES: (Continued) TELEPHONICALLY ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANTS: ALISON PLESSMAN, ESQ. HUESTON HENNIGAN, LLP 523 West 6th Street Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90014 Defendants: Broadcom, Inc. and Brocade Communications Systems, LLC LESLIE A. DEMERS, ESQ. SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM, LLP One Manhattan West New York, NY 10001-8602 Defendant: Extreme Networks, Inc.

1 MR. WOOD: Your Honor, this is John Wood for 2 plaintiffs, and --3 THE COURT: All right. 4 MR. WOOD: -- Cheryl Rice and Matt Ashley and Olivia Weber are also on for plaintiffs. 5 6 THE COURT: Okay. And for Extreme? 7 MS. DEMERS: Hello, Your Honor. You have 8 Leslie Demers for Extreme Networks today. 9 THE COURT: Okay. All right. I understand 10 that there is a --I'm sorry. This is Alison 11 MS. PLESSMAN: Plessman for Broadcom and Brocade. I'm attending; 12 13 although, I don't think the issue concerns us, but 14 I'm -- I'm here. 15 THE COURT: Oh, okay, Ms. Plessman. So, thank 16 you. 17 All right. I understand there's been an 18 I was in a meeting and the parties were calling update. 19 in, and so I've been handed a printout of an update. 20 looked at the last line, and it says that we're not sure -- did not think that it would be necessary, but 21 22 since you're already on the line, you can go ahead and give me the update. I'll just seek some dates of source 23 24 code estimations. 25 So, this is from you, Mr. Wood, so can you go

ahead and let me know what's going on.

MR. WOOD: Yes, Your Honor. So the parties have been working all day on the -- on the estimate, and --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. WOOD: -- we -- so Extreme is now saying that it can have at least one source code computer ready by May 23rd, which is obviously before the May 25th date that Your Honor set.

THE COURT: That's Monday.

MR. WOOD: And the other, May 27th.

Yeah, and the other by May 27th. And then once they have one computer ready with all the source code, their estimate is now that it will take two days to produce the manifests.

And I think Ms. Demers may want to speak to this, but their estimates may change as -- as these things run. But we thought that estimate was close enough to the date Your Honor set that we're satisfied with it.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. WOOD: And getting the updates.

THE COURT: Right.

So, Ms. Demers, were the -- I just want to go back a little bit so I understand the timeline. Were

the computers picked up on Friday?

MS. DEMERS: Yes, Your Honor. The vendor had the computers ready and we grabbed them on Friday, the 13th.

THE COURT: Okay. Did you start loading source code Friday or over the weekend?

MS. DEMERS: Yes. So that process started on Friday and continued over the weekend.

Overnight last night our vendor alerted us that they had a couple of issues. They fixed the issues.

And we started the script again. But we're getting a much later update this morning on an additional 12 days, which would have put us, I believe, you know, next Friday or over the weekend.

And so at that point we had reached out to Mr. Wood and his colleagues to provide an updated time. He had gotten that new information that we received.

THE COURT: Okay. And so you found out about these potential time delays this morning; is that what you said?

MS. DEMERS: Yes, we found -- well, around midnight. So, yes, depending on how you're counting morning.

THE COURT: All right. Okay. So, like, one computer will be ready on May the 23rd, and then there

is a second one that will be ready before the 27th; is that right?

MS. DEMERS: That's correct. And if I can just expand on that very briefly.

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. DEMERS: These are the current estimates based on the script on only having only one run for about 12 hours, I believe, at this point.

As you can tell, you know, frankly, I'm not quite sure why one computer that's identical to the other would take until May 23rd and the other until May 27th.

And so our vendor is expecting that these dates are going to hop around a little bit. And that's why we had proposed to plaintiffs that we will give them an update at the end of each day with, you know, the amount of data that has been loaded so far and here is what the computers are telling us will be the end date.

I just -- I'm not quite sure why we're getting two different dates, and so I just wanted to, you know, be fully transparent with plaintiffs and provide them with updated information as soon as we can.

THE COURT: And just so I have a better understanding, is one computer being loaded first and then a second one started? I guess that's what I'm

trying to understand. And maybe you don't have the answer to that based on these -- the extension of the 27th for one. But I guess I had the impression that once you picked up the computers, they would be ready for source code to be put on. So -- but it doesn't sound like that.

MS. DEMERS: Yeah. So they were ready to have source code put on both of them. And they were started at different times, but the difference was only about an hour. So it doesn't quite explain why we have several day's difference between the two.

We had paused loading on one of the computers today to run an experiment that Mr. Wood and I had discussed to see if there was a faster method of loading the source code. Unfortunately, it didn't pan out. But, again, that would only have injected, you know, another few hours of delay on that computer.

So although they started around the same time and should have had code being loaded to them for about -- with a few hour's difference, the same total amount of time, we're getting some pretty different estimates here.

THE COURT: Hmm. That's very interesting, and I don't fully understand how that would be the case.

But --

MS. DEMERS: That makes several of us.

THE COURT: Yeah. Okay. But you are going to give the daily updates as to the status for both computers?

MS. DEMERS: That's correct. We'll provide that end-of-day experience each day.

THE COURT: All right. Well, unless there is some further explanation, it sounds like that's the most that can be accomplished at this -- under the circumstances.

So you'll be giving daily updates to the plaintiffs, and with the current time estimates being made, the 23rd and the 27th, with the manifests for the checksum on the 25th.

MS. DEMERS: Your Honor --

THE COURT: So I'll do, I guess, a short sort of line order with the date adjustments. And then if there is any substantial change, then if we need to have another teleconference just with chambers, but I encourage you to keep doing what you have and giving the updates and keeping an open communication as to the status that we can get this accomplished.

MS. DEMERS: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. WOOD: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you very much.

```
THE COURT: All right. You all have a good
 1
 2
    afternoon.
 3
              MR. WOOD:
                        Okay.
 4
              MS. DEMERS: You, too, Your Honor.
 5
              MR. WOOD: Thank you.
              MS. DEMERS: Thank you, Your Honor.
 6
 7
              (Which were all the electronically-recorded
 8
               telephonic proceedings had as
               herein transcribed.)
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

C-E-R-T-I-F-I-C-A-T-ESTATE OF TENNESSEE COUNTY OF KNOX I, Teresa S. Grandchamp, RMR, CRR, do hereby certify that I reported in machine shorthand the above electronically-recorded telephonic proceedings; that the foregoing pages were transcribed under my personal supervision and constitute a true and accurate record of the electronically-recorded telephonic proceedings. I further certify that I am not an attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor an employee or relative of any attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor financially interested in the action. Transcript completed and signed on Wednesday, June 29th, 2022. TERESA S. GRANDCHAMP, RMR, Official Court Reporter